VRAAG 1: WAT IS HET EFFECT VAN BEHANDELING MET BISFOSFONATEN OF DENOSUMAB OP PREVENTIE VAN HYPERCALCIËMIE BIJ PATIËNTEN MET MULTIPEL MYELOOM OF BOTMETASTASEN?

Systematische reviews

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
Ford 2013	 Design: systematic review + meta-analysis Funding: National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment programme; Col: see article Search date: July 2011 Databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and Web of Science with Conference Proceedings; 2010 and 2011 meeting abstracts of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO), American Urological Association and San Antonio Breast Cancer symposium Study designs: RCTs N included studies: N=39 	Eligibility criteria: patients with bone metastases from breast cancer, prostate cancer, non- small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) or other solid tumours	Denosumab	 Breast: % hypercalcemia at 1y: Zoledronate 2.6% (3/114) vs. placebo 8.8% (10/113), no p-value (Kohno 2005) % hypercalcemia at 2y: Pamidronate 6% (N=367) vs. placebo 13% (N=387), p=0.001 (Lipton 2000) Prostate: no data reported NSCLC: no data reported Solid tumours: % hypercalcemia: 0% vs. 3%, no p-value (Rosen 2003b) 	 Review process done by independent reviewers English articles only Included relevant studies: Breast: Kohno 2005, Lipton 2000, Rosen 2003a, Stopeck 2010 Prostate: Fizazi 2011 NSCLC: Rosen 2003b (but did not report hypercalcemia for lung cancer patients) Solid tumours: Rosen 2003b, Rosen 2004
Jakob 2020	 Design: systematic review + meta-analysis Funding: Federal Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF), Germany Grant no: 01KG1702; Col: none Search date: March 2020 Databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library; trial registers; abstract meetings 2013-2018 	Eligibility criteria: men with prostate cancer and bone metastases	Bisphosphonates or RANK-ligand-inhibitors	 Pamidronate vs. placebo: RR 0.54 (95%Cl 0.05-5.85), 1/169 vs. 2/181 (Small 2003) Zoledronate vs. Clodronate: RR 0.49 (0.05-5.31), 1/69 vs. 2/68 (Wang 2013) Zoledronate vs. Denosumab: 0/16 vs. 0/33 (Fizazi 2009) Zoledronate vs. placebo: RR 0.48 (0.06-3.69), 1/429 vs. 3/433 (Pan 2014, TRAPEZE 2016) Network meta-analysis: ranking P-score, Zoledronate 0.67, Pamidronate 0.60, Clodronate 0.39, placebo 0.35 	 High-quality review with review process done by independent reviewers Included relevant studies: Small 2003, Wang 2013 (abstract), Fizazi 2009, Pan 2014, TRAPEZE 2016

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
	American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO), European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO), Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer (MASCC) • Study designs: RCTs • N included studies: N=25				
Kumar 2011	 Design: systematic review of systematic reviews Funding: not reported; Col: not reported Search date: Nov 2009 Databases: PubMed, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews Study designs: systematic reviews of RCTs N included studies: N=11 	Eligibility criteria: RCTs assessing the effect of treatments on multiple myeloma	Bisphosphonates	 Hypercalcemia: RR 0.79 (0.56-1.11), 80/932 vs. 106/1002, p=0.17 	 Selection done by two independent reviewers, but unclear for data extraction Included relevant reviews: Mhaskar 2010
Machado 2009	 Design: systematic review + meta-analysis Funding: none; Col: none Search date: Jan 2009 Databases: Medline, Embase, CENTRAL Study designs: RCTs N included studies: N=18 	Eligibility criteria: cancer patients with bone metastasis	Clodronate Pamidronate Zoledronate	 Clodronate: RR 0.73 (0.56-0.97), 69/533 vs. 99/546, 5 studies Pamidronate: RR 0.60 (0.41-0.86), 44/1059 vs. 385/1068, 6 studies Zoledronate: RR 0.27 (0.10-0.72), 5/380 vs. 18/363, 2 studies 	 Review process done by independent reviewers Quality assessment with Jadad scale Included relevant RCTs: Clodronate: Kristensen 1999 Pamidronate: Berenson 1996, Hortobagyi 1996, Theriault 1999 Zoledronate: Kohno 2005, Rosen 2003b
Mhaskar 2017	 Design: systematic review + meta-analysis Funding: Leukämie- Initiative Bonn e.v., Germany, Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group (CHMG), Germany; Col: none Search date: July 2017 	 Eligibility criteria: patients with diagnosis of multiple myeloma 	Bisphosphonates	 Incidence of hypercalcemia: RR 0.78 (0.56- 1.09), 80/1054 vs. 108/1120, p=0.14 	 High-quality review with review process done by independent reviewers Included relevant RCTs: Etidronate: Belch 1991 Clodronate: Lahtinen 1992 Pamidronate: Berenson 1998, Gimsing 2010, Musto 2003, Terpos 2000 Ibandronate: Menssen 2002

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
	 Databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library; trial registers; American Society of Hematology Study designs: RCTs N included studies: N=24 				 o Zoledronate: Garcia-Sanz 2015, Sezer 2010 (abstract)
Ross 2003 Ross 2004	 Design: systematic review + meta-analysis Funding: funded by a Health and Technology Assessment, NHS Research and Development Grant; Col: not reported Search date: June 2001 Databases: MEDLINE, CANCERLIT, EMBASE, Science Citation Index Expanded, pre- MEDLINE, CENTRAL and DARE, Health Economic Evaluations Database, National Health Service Economic Evaluations Database Study designs: RCTs N included studies: N=30 RCTs (SRE review) 	Eligibility criteria: patients with malignancy and bony metastases	Oral or intravenous bisphosphonate in the experimental arm, compared to another bisphosphonate, another recognized treatment for hypercalcaemia, placebo or control group	 Hypercalcemia: OR 0.544 (0.364-0.814), p=0.003 (11 studies, N=3894) 6-12m: OR 0.417 (0.235-0.741), p=0.003 (5 studies, N=1916) 12-18m: OR 0.503 (0.282-0.898), p=0.02 (5 studies, N=1807) 18-24m: OR 0.557 (0.266-1.165), p=0.12 (3 studies, N=1130) ≥24m: OR 0.418 (0.342-0.511), p=0.0001 (2 studies, N=753) Breast cancer: OR 0.427 (0.292-0.625), p=0.0001 (5 studies, N=1364) Multiple myeloma: OR 0.968 (0.687-1.365), p=0.852 (3 studies, N=1079) Pamidronate: OR 0.501 (0.287-0.875), p=0.015 (4 studies, N=1534) Clodronate: OR 0.696 (0.481-1.006), p=0.054 (5 studies, N=811) Zoledronate: OR 0.111 (0.028-0.445), p=0.002 (2 studies, N=1416) 	 Review process performed by independent researchers No language restriction Included relevant RCTs: Belch 1991, Hortobagyi 1998, Theriault 1999, Berenson 1998, Elomaa 1983, Robertson 1995 (+ 2 unpublished studies)
Santini 2019	Design: systematic review + meta-analysis Funding: none; Col: none Search date: April 2019 Databases: Medline, EMBASE and Cochrane Library Study designs: RCTs N included studies; N=3	Eligibility criteria: patients with at least one site of histological confirmed bone metastasis from solid tumors	Zoledronate 12-week vs. 4-week schedule	 Hypercalcemia: 4-week 4/216 vs. 12-week 1/209, RR 3.87 (0.44-34.34) 	 Data extraction done by two independent reviewers, but unclear for selection Included relevant study: Amadori 2013

Primaire studies

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
Barrett-Lee 2014	Design: RCT	 Eligibility criteria: 18 years or older; at least one 	Ibandronate 50 mg/d for 96 weeks (N=704)	CRITICAL OUTCOMES	Level of evidence: high risk of bias

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
	 Funding: Roche Products Ltd (educational grant), supported by National Institute for Health Research Cancer Network, following endorsement by Cancer Research UK (CRUKE/04/022).; Col: none Setting: multicentre trial, UK Sample size: N=1404 Duration: median follow- up 92 vs. 91 weeks; recruitment Jan 2006 – Oct 2010 	 radiologically confirmed bone metastasis from a histologically confirmed breast cancer; clinical decision to treat with bisphosphonates within the 3 months before randomisation; Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status (PS) 0–2; written informed consent Exclusion criteria: CNS metastases; current active dental problems; known active peptic ulcer; pregnant or lactating; creatinine clearance lower than 30 mL/min (Cockcroft-Gault); serum bilirubin higher than 1.5 x upper limit of normal (ULN) or aspartate aminotransferase higher than 3.0 x ULN; bisphosphonate therapy in the previous 6 months; or history of bisphosphonate hypersensitivity A priori patient characteristics: o M/F: 11/692 vs. 7/690 o Median age: 61 vs. 61y 	vs. Zoledronate 4 mg IV every 4 weeks for 96 weeks (N=697)	 Hypercalcaemia: 75/704 (11%) vs. 65/697 (9%) Events: 142 vs. 108 	 Central randomisation Open-label trial Industry-sponsored
Berenson 2001	 Design: RCT Funding: supported by a grant from Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., East Hanover, NJ; Col: see artocle Setting: multicentre study, US and UK Sample size: N=280 Duration: 10 months 	 Eligibility criteria: patients with a histologically confirmed diagnosis of metastatic breast carcinoma or multiple myeloma and with radiologic evidence of at least one osteolytic lesion; patients with multiple myeloma also were required to have had a previous skeletal event (radiation to bone, pathologic fracture, surgery to bone, spinal cord compression, or hypercalcemia) or to have failed first-line chemotherapy; patients with breast carcinoma had at least 1 osteolytic lesion that measured at least 1 cm in dimension, and multiple myeloma patients had at least 	Zoledronic acid 0.4 mg IV every 4 weeks (N=68) vs. Zoledronic acid 2 mg IV every 4 weeks (N=72) vs. Zoledronic acid 4 mg IV every 4 weeks (N=67) vs.	CRITICAL OUTCOMES • Hypercalcaemia: • 5/68 (7%) vs. 2/72 (3%) vs. (0/67) 0% vs. 2/73 (3%), no p-value	 Level of evidence: unclear risk of bias Randomisation method not reported Only the pharmacist at each study center was aware of treatment assignment ITT analysis

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
		 examinable osteolytic lesion; patients were to have a life expectancy of at least 10 months and an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0, 1, or 2 Exclusion criteria: patients were excluded if they had osteolytic lesions only in previously radiated areas, had received previous bisphosphonate treatment, had participated in a previous pamidronate protocol, had received other investigational drugs within 30 days, or had a recent history of hypercalcemia or bisphosphonate allergy or sensitivity A priori patient characteristics: o M/F: 67/213 Mean age: 57.6 vs. 56.5 vs. 59.9 vs. 57.7y 	Pamidronate 90 mg IV every 4 weeks (N=73)		
Choudhury 2011	 Design: RCT Funding: none; Col: none Setting: single centre, India Sample size: N=256 Duration: recruitment June 2008 – May 2011 	 Big vs. 57.7y Eligibility criteria: patients with painful bone metastasis arising from solid tumors, at least 18y; normal renal (serum creatinine less than 1.5 mg/dl) and hepatic function, ECOG performance status 1–4, life expectancy of at least 3 months, normal serum calcium or asymptomatic hypercalcemia, pain score of at least 5 [pain assessed with the worst pain score from the Brief Pain Inventory (BPI): patients must have a 'worst pain score' of ≥5 on a scale of 10 (as scored on the BPI question no. 3: 0 = no pain; 10 = worst possible pain)], pain corresponding to the area of bone metastases Exclusion criteria: nephrotoxic drugs or osteoclast activity modulators, with the target lesions that were not 	Zoledronic acid 4 mg IV every 3-4 weeks (N=84) vs. Ibandronate 6 mg IV every 3-4 weeks (N=89) vs. Pamidronate 90 mg IV every 3-4 weeks (N=83)	CRITICAL OUTCOMES Hypercalcaemia: 17/60 (28.3%) vs. 29/65 (44.6%) vs. 31/62 (50%) 	Level of evidence: high risk of bias • Unclear allocation concealment • Open-label trial • No ITT analysis

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
Clemons 2021a Clemons 2021b	 Design: RCT Funding: supported by the Rethinking Clinical Trials Program (REaCT), the Canadian Institute of Health Research (Patient Oriented Research grant), Cancer Care Ontario – Government of Ontario (Clinical Programs and Quality Initiatives grant 2017 and 2018 competitions), the Ottawa Hospital Foundation and its generous donors, and the Canadian Cancer Clinical Trials Network (3CTN); Col: none Setting: multicentre study, Canada Sample size: N=263 Duration: maximum follow-up 3.7 vs. 3.6y; recruitment Aug 2016 – June 2018 	 detectable by conventional techniques, the painful area had received prior radiation or surgery, pathologic fracture, clinical or radiographic evidence of spinal cord compression or cauda equina syndrome, with hematological malignancy, with pregnancy or lactation and if were unlikely to cooperate fully during the study <i>A priori</i> patient characteristics: M/F: 50/10 vs. 53/12 vs. 51/11 Mean age: 53.1 vs. 51.8 vs. 53.3y Cancer type: lung N=78, breast N=29, prostate, N=16 Eligibility criteria: patients with bone metastases from either metastatic breast or castration resistant prostate cancer, who were either going to start or were already receiving bonetargeted agents (either denosumab, pamidronate, or zoledronate) <i>A priori</i> patient characteristics: M/F: 52/81 vs. 51/79 Median age: 67 vs. 68y Cancer type: breast N=160, prostate N=103 	4-weekly bone- targeted agents for 2y (N=133) vs. 12-weekly bone- targeted agents for 2y (N=133)	CRITICAL OUTCOMES • Hypercalcaemia: 4/133 (3.0%) vs. 4/130 (3.1%)	Level of evidence: unclear risk of bias • Web-based randomisation system, but unclear allocation concealment • Stratification by tumour type • After enrolment, neither investigators nor participants were masked to treatment allocation
Diel 2015	Design: combined analysis of 2 RCTs	 Eligibility criteria: patients with a primary diagnosis of either breast cancer (N = 2046), other 	Denosumab 120 mg IV every 4 weeks (N=1912)	CRITICAL OUTCOMES Hypercalcaemia: 32/1912 (1.7%) vs. 52/1910 	Level of evidence: low risk of bias

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
	 Funding: Amgen Inc; Col: list provided in article Setting: multicentre, Europe and US Sample size: N=3822 Duration: median time on-study 12.9 months; recruitment Apr 2006 – May 2008 	 myeloma (N = 1776) with radiographic evidence of at least one bone metastasis; an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance score of 0, 1 or 2; and adequate organ function Exclusion criteria: creatinine clearance <30 mL/min, if they had received IV bisphosphonates for bone metastases, or if they had hypercalcemia of malignancy <i>A priori</i> patient characteristics: o M/F: 596/1316 vs. 561/1349 o Mean age: 58 vs. 59y Cancer type: breast N=2046, NSCLC N=702, multiple myeloma N=180 	vs. Zoledronic acid 4 mg IV every 4 weeks (N=1910)	 ○ Breast: 19/1026 vs. 31/1020 ○ NSCLC: 11/350 vs. 9/352 ○ Multiple myeloma: 1/87 vs. 6/93 	 Interactive voice response system Stratification by tumour type Study sponsors and personnel, investigators and patients remained blinded to treatment assignment through completion of the primary analysis of each study
Elomaa 1983	Design: RCT Funding: supported by grants from Cancer Society of Finland, the Finnish Cultural Foundation, and Nordisk Insulin Foundation; Col: not reported Setting: single university centre, Finland Sample size: N=34 Duration: 12 months	 Eligibility criteria: normocalcaemic women with multiple osteolytic bone metastases due to breast cancer A priori patient characteristics: o M/F: 0/100 o Mean age: 52y 	Clodronate 1600 mg/d po for 3-9 months (N=17) vs. Placebo (N=17)	CRITICAL OUTCOMES Hypercalcaemia: 1/17 vs. 4/17, no p-value	 Level of evidence: unclear risk of bias Unclear randomisation method and allocation concealment Unclear blinding
Glover 1994	 Datation: 12 months Design: RCT Funding: Supported by CIBA-GEIGY Pharmaceuticals, Summit, New Jersey; Col: not reported Setting: multicentre trial, US Sample size: N=61 Duration: 3 months 	 Eligibility criteria: ambulatory female patients age 18 years or older with breast cancer metastatic to bone and a life expectancy of at least 3 months A priori patient characteristics: M/F: 0/100 Mean age: 53.6 vs. 50.1 vs. 52.1 vs. 54.1y 	Pamidronate 30 mg IV every 2 weeks for 12 weeks (N=14) vs. Pamidronate 60 mg IV every 4 weeks for 12 weeks (N=17) vs. Pamidronate 60 mg IV every 2 weeks for 12 weeks (N=14) vs.	 CRITICAL OUTCOMES Hypercalcaemia: no clinical episodes of hypercalcemia were observed during the trial 	Level of evidence: high risk of bias • Computer-generated randomization list • Unclear allocation concealment • Open label trial

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
Study ID Hortobagyi 1996 Hortobagyi 1998	Methods • Design: RCT • Funding: not reported; Col: not reported • Setting: multicentre study, US, Australia, Canada • Sample size: N=382 • Duration: median follow- up 25.7 vs. 27.8 months	 Eligibility criteria: women with stage IV metastatic breast cancer and at least one predominantly lytic metastatic bone lesion at least 1 cm in diameter Exclusion criteria: patients were ineligible for the study if they had a skeletal complication (a pathologic fracture, the need for radiation to bone or bone surgery, or spinal cord compression due to vertebral collapse) or a corrected serum calcium concentration (corrected for serum albumin concentration) above 12.0 mg per deciliter (3.0 mmol per liter) during the two weeks before enrollment, a serum creatinine concentration above 2.5 mg per deciliter (220 mmol per liter), ascites or a serum total bilirubin concentration above 2.5 mg per deciliter, or a New York Heart 	Intervention Pamidronate 90 mg IV every 4 weeks for 12 weeks (N=15) Pamidronate 90 mg IV every 4 weeks, 12 times (N=185) vs. Placebo (N=197)	Results CRITICAL OUTCOMES • Hypercalcaemia: • After 3 cycles: 2/185 (1%) vs. 11/195 (6%), p=0.02 • After 6 cycles: 9/185 (5%) vs. 15/195 (6%), p=0.26 • After 9 cycles: 10/185 (5%) vs. 22/195 (11%), p=0.04 • After 12 cycles: 11/185 (6%) vs. 24/195 (12%), p=0.03 • 15 months: 11/185 (6%) vs. 28/195 (14%), p=0.007 • 18 months: 11/185 (6%) vs. 30/195 (15%), p=0.003 • 21 months: 11/185 (6%) vs. 30/195 (15%), p=0.003 • 24 months: 13/185 (7%) vs. 30/195 (15%), p=0.01	
		 corticosteroids (except as part of the patient's chemotherapeutic regimen), calcitonin, or plicamycin during the 2 weeks before enrollment <i>A priori</i> patient characteristics: M/F: 0/382 Mean age: 57 vs. 56y 			

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
Kristensen 1999	 Design: RCT Funding: by a grant from Astra Denmark A/S.; Col: not reported Setting: single university centre, Denmark Sample size: N=100 Duration: unclear 	 Eligibility criteria: women were eligible if they had histologically verified adenocarcinoma of the breast and recurrence in bone either histologically or on X-ray; if they were previously untreated or had received firstline systemic antineoplastic treatment for less than 6 months; if they had a life expectancy of more than 6 months; and if they gave informed consent Exclusion criteria: patients could not participate if they were younger than 18 years, had serum ionized calcium (S-Ca2+) above 1.40 mmol/L, had fractures in weight-bearing bones, had a known metabolic bone disease, were treated with hypocalcaemic drugs less than 1 month before randomization, had known intolerance to bisphosphonates, had another malignant disease except in situ carcinoma of the uterine cervix and basocellular carcinoma of the skin, predictable low compliance, or had been admitted into the trial previously A priori patient characteristics: o M/F: 0/100 Median age: 53.1 vs. 53.4y 	Clodronate 800 mg po 2x/d, for a maximum of 2 years (N=49) vs. No Clodronate (N=51)	CRITICAL OUTCOMES Hypercalcaemia (as first skeletal event): 3/49 vs. 4/51, no p-value 	Level of evidence: high risk of bias • Computer-generated randomization list • Unclear allocation concealment • Open label trial
Murakami 2014	 Design: RCT Funding: not reported; Col: see article for list Setting: multicentre study, Japan Sample size: N=100 Duration: 1 year follow- up 	 Eligibility criteria: patients were required to be histologically or cytologically diagnosed with NSCLC and bone metastases (at least one bone metastasis that had not been treated with radiation therapy) and to have had previous treatment with one or two chemotherapy regimens; age of ≥20 years, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 	Zoledronic acid 4 mg IV every 3 weeks (N=50) vs. No Zoledronic acid (N=50)	CRITICAL OUTCOMES Hypercalcaemia: 2/49 (4%) vs. 8/50 (16%) 	 Level of evidence: high risk of bias Unclear randomisation method and allocation concealment Open label trial

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
		0–2, measurable disease, no			
		history of chemotherapy with docetaxel, no history of prior			
		treatment with zoledronic acid,			
		adequate baseline organ			
		function (leukocyte count			
		≥3500 /mm3; absolute			
		neutrophil count ≥2000 /mm3;			
		hemoglobin ≥9.0 g/dL; platelet			
		count ≥100 000 ∕mm3; total			
		bilirubin ≤2.0 mg∕ dL; aspartate			
		aminotransferase and alanine			
		aminotransferase levels ≤100			
		IU/L; creatinine clearance,			
		≥30 mL⁄ min; and SpO2 under			
		room air, ≥90%)			
		Exclusion criteria: active			
		concomitant malignancy,			
		space fluid collection requiring			
		drainage, radiographic signs of			
		interstitial pneumonia or pulmonary fibrosis, active SRE			
		at the time of registration,			
		hypercalcemia requiring			
		prompt treatment, active			
		periodontal disease or severe			
		comorbidities (active infectious			
		disease, severe heart disease,			
		uncontrolled diabetes mellitus,			
		gastrointestinal bleeding,			
		intestinal paralysis, bowel			
		obstruction or psychiatric			
		disease), or a history of drug			
		allergy; patients receiving			
		systemic steroid medication			
		and pregnant or breast-feeding women were also excluded			
		 A priori patient characteristics: 			
		• A priori patient characteristics. • M/F: 31/19 vs. 32/18			
		 Median age: 62 vs.63y 			
Pivot 2011	Design: RCT	Eligibility criteria: adult females	Ibandronate 6 mg IV	CRITICAL OUTCOMES	Level of evidence: high risk of
	 Funding: Roche SA; 	having a breast	over 15 min (N=165)		bias
	Col: some authors work	adenocarcinoma, documented		Hypercalcaemia was reported five times in	-
	for Roche	by histology, with one or more	VS.	three patients and considered serious in one	 Randomization was
	Setting: multicentre	bone metastases confirmed by		case; no real comparison reported	performed using a
	study, France	imaging	Ibandronate 6 mg IV		minimization algorithm,
	 Sample size: N=334 	Exclusion criteria: creatinine	over 60 min (N=169)		taking into account the
	Duration: 28 days	clearance <30 mL/min,			center, baseline creatinine
	,	Karnofsky index <60, life			clearance and time since

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
Robertson 1995	 Design: RCT Funding: Supported by Boehringer Mannheim, Livingston, United Kingdom; Col: not reported Setting: single university centre, UK Sample size: N=55 Duration: unclear 	 expectancy <6 months, tooth/jaw disorder or surgery in the past six week, history of osteonecrosis of the jaw or delayed healing after dental surgery, uncontrolled brain metastasis, severe sepsis, systemic disease involving renal lesions, rapidly progressive renal failure, uncontrolled cardiac disorder, calcaemia <2.0 mmol/L or v>2.7 mmol/L, concomitant nephrotoxic chemotherapy (methotrexate > 50 mg/m2 or cisplatin) eligibility for hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, bisphosphonate therapy in the past three weeks, pregnancy or lactation, and hypersensitivity to bisphosphonates <i>A priori</i> patient characteristics: o M/F: 0/334 o Mean age: 59 vs. 60y Eligibility criteria: patients with proven malignant disease and judged to have bone pain in association with progressing bone metastases that had been resistant to first-line antitumour therapy Exclusion criteria: life expectancy less than 2 months, inability to swallow oral medication, presence of significant renal dysfunction (creatinine concentration > 250 pmol/L), and previous or current treatment with bisphosphonates <i>A priori</i> patient characteristics: o M/F: unclear Man age: 60 vs. 65y Cancer type: breast N=28, lung N=4, prostate N=24, myeloma/lymphoma N=4 	Clodronate 1600 mg/day po (N=27) vs. Placebo (N=28)	CRITICAL OUTCOMES • Hypercalcaemia: • 0/27 (0%) vs. 2/28 (7%), no p-value	diagnosis of bone metastases Computer-generated randomization list Unclear allocation concealment Open label trial ITT population: N=315 Level of evidence: unclear risk of bias Randomisation method and allocation concealment unclear Double-blind, but unclear if outcome assessors were blinded

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
Theriault 1999	 Design: RCT Funding: supported by a grant from Novartis Pharmaceuticals, East Hanover, NJ.; Col: not reported Setting: multicentre study, US, Australia, Canada Sample size: N=371 Duration: median follow- up 36.8 vs. 37.1 months 	 Eligibility criteria: ambulatory women 18 years of age or older with a confirmed diagnosis of breast cancer and two or more predominantly lytic metastatic bone lesions Exclusion criteria: patients were not to have had a skeletal event in the 2 weeks before trial entry and were to have an estimated life expectancy of 9 months with no significant renal, hepatic, or cardiac impairment A priori patient characteristics: M/F: 0/371 Mean age: 60 vs. 62y 	Pamidronate 90 mg IV every 4 weeks for 24 cycles (N=182) vs. Placebo (N=189)	 CRITICAL OUTCOMES Hypercalcaemia: (skeletal morbidity rate, i.e. N complications/year) After 6 cycles: 0.03 vs. 0.11, p=0.169 After 12 cycles: 0.05 vs. 0.14, p=0.143 After 18 cycles: 0.06 vs. 0.15, p=0.095 After 24 cycles: 0.06 vs. 0.17, p=0.037 	 Level of evidence: unclear risk of bias Site-specific, computer- generated randomization list Unclear allocation concealment Study personnel, as well as the patients and investigators, remained unaware of the treatment assigned
van Holten- Verzantvoort 1993 van Holten- Verzantvoort 1987	 Design: RCT Funding: grants from the Dutch Cancer Society, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, ((KVO 83/09) and the Prevention Fund, The Hague, The Netherlands, (28- B/141).; Col: not reported Setting: multicentre study, the Netherlands Sample size: N=161 Duration: median follow- up 18 vs. 21 months 	 Eligibility criteria: patients with breast cancer and established (predominantly) osteolytic metastases, with or without other sites of metastases A priori patient characteristics: M/F: 0/161 Mean age: 61.0 vs. 61.4y 	Pamidronate 300 mg/d (N=81) vs. No Pamidronate (N=80)	CRITICAL OUTCOMES Hypercalcaemia: mean event rate 0.5 vs. 1.6, p=0.003 	 Level of evidence: high risk of bias Unclear randomisation method and allocation concealment Open label trial

Abbreviations: 95%CI: 95% confidence interval; Col: conflict of interest; IV: intravenous; ITT: intention to treat; M/F: male/female;; po: per os; NSCLC: non-small cell lung cancer; RCT: randomised controlled trial; RR: relative risk; SC: subcutaneously; SD: standard deviation; US: United States.

References

Amadori, D., et al., Efficacy and safety of 12-weekly versus 4-weekly zoledronic acid for prolonged treatment of patients with bone metastases from breast cancer (ZOOM): a phase 3, open-label, randomised, non-inferiority trial. Lancet Oncology, 2013. 14(7): p. 663-70.

Barrett-Lee, P., et al., Oral ibandronic acid versus intravenous zoledronic acid in treatment of bone metastases from breast cancer: a randomised, open label, non-inferiority phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncology, 2014. 15(1): p. 114-22.

Belch, A.R., et al., Effect of daily etidronate on the osteolysis of multiple myeloma. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 1991. 9(8): p. 1397-402.

Berenson, J.R., et al., Efficacy of pamidronate in reducing skeletal events in patients with advanced multiple myeloma. New England Journal of Medicine, 1996. 334(8): p. 488-493.

Berenson, J.R., et al., Long-term pamidronate treatment of advanced multiple myeloma patients reduces skeletal events. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 1998. 16(2): p. 593-602.

Berenson, J.R., et al., Zoledronic acid reduces skeletal-related events in patients with osteolytic metastases: A double-blind, randomized dose-response study. Cancer, 2001. 91(7): p. 1191-1200.

Choudhury, K.B., et al. A randomized controlled trial to compare the efficacy of bisphosphonates in the management of painful bone metastasis. Indian journal of palliative care, 2011. 17, 210-218.

Clemons, M., et al., A randomised trial of 4- versus 12-weekly administration of bone-targeted agents in patients with bone metastases from breast or castrationresistant prostate cancer. European Journal of Cancer, 2021. 142: p. 132-140.

Clemons, M., et al., Two-year results of a randomised trial comparing 4- versus 12-weekly bone-targeted agent use in patients with bone metastases from breast or castration-resistant prostate cancer. Journal of Bone Oncology, 2021. 30.

Diel, I.J., et al., The role of denosumab in the prevention of hypercalcaemia of malignancy in cancer patients with metastatic bone disease. European Journal of Cancer, 2015. 51(11): p. 1467-75.

Elomaa, I., et al., Long-term controlled trial with diphosphonate in patients with osteolytic bone metastases. Lancet, 1983. 1(8317): p. 146-9.

Fizazi, K., et al., Denosumab treatment of prostate cancer with bone metastases and increased urine N-telopeptide levels after therapy with intravenous bisphosphonates: results of a randomized phase II trial. Journal of Urology, 2009. 182(2): p. 509-15; discussion 515-6.

Fizazi, K., et al., Denosumab treatment of prostate cancer with bone metastases and increased urine N-telopeptide levels after therapy with intravenous bisphosphonates: results of a randomized phase II trial. Journal of Urology, 2013. 189(1 Suppl): p. S51-7; discussion S57-8.

Ford, J., et al., Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness, and economic evaluation, of denosumab for the treatment of bone metastases from solid tumours. Health Technology Assessment (Winchester, England), 2013. 17(29): p. 1-386.

Garcia-Sanz, R., et al., Zoledronic acid as compared with observation in multiple myeloma patients at biochemical relapse: results of the randomized AZABACHE Spanish trial. Haematologica, 2015. 100(9): p. 1207-13.

Gimsing, P., et al., Effect of pamidronate 30 mg versus 90 mg on physical function in patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (Nordic Myeloma Study Group): A double-blind, randomised controlled trial. The Lancet Oncology, 2010. 11(10): p. 973-982.

Glover, D., et al., Intravenous pamidronate disodium treatment of bone metastases in patients with breast cancer. A dose-seeking study. Cancer, 1994. 74(11): p. 2949-55.

Hortobagyi, G.N., et al., Efficacy of pamidronate in reducing skeletal complications in patients with breast cancer and lytic bone metastases. New England Journal of Medicine, 1996. 335(24): p. 1785-1791.

Hortobagyi, G.N., et al., Long-term prevention of skeletal complications of metastatic breast cancer with pamidronate. Protocol 19 Aredia Breast Cancer Study Group. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 1998. 16(6): p. 2038-44.

Jakob, T., et al., Bisphosphonates or RANK-ligand-inhibitors for men with prostate cancer and bone metastases: a network meta-analysis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2020. 12: p. CD013020.

James, N., et al., TRAPEZE: A randomised controlled trial of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of chemotherapy with zoledronic acid, strontium-89, or both, in men with bony metastatic castration-refractory prostate cancer. Health Technology Assessment, 2016. 20(53): p. 1-127.

James, N.D., et al., Clinical Outcomes and Survival Following Treatment of Metastatic Castrate-Refractory Prostate Cancer With Docetaxel Alone or With Strontium-89, Zoledronic Acid, or Both: The TRAPEZE Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Oncology, 2016. 2(4): p. 493-9.

Kohno, N., et al., Zoledronic acid significantly reduces skeletal complications compared with placebo in Japanese women with bone metastases from breast cancer: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2005. 23(15): p. 3314-21.

Kristensen, B., et al., Oral clodronate in breast cancer patients with bone metastases: a randomized study. Journal of Internal Medicine, 1999. 246(1): p. 67-74.

Kumar, A., S. Galeb, and B. Djulbegovic, Treatment of patients with multiple myeloma: An overview of systematic reviews. Acta Haematologica, 2011. 125(1-2): p. 8-22.

Lahtinen, R., et al., Randomised, placebo-controlled multicentre trial of clodronate in multiple myeloma. Finnish Leukaemia Group. Lancet, 1992. 340(8827): p. 1049-52.

Lipton, A., et al., Pamidronate prevents skeletal complications and is effective palliative treatment in women with breast carcinoma and osteolytic bone metastases: long term follow-up of two randomized, placebo-controlled trials. Cancer, 2000. 88(5): p. 1082-90.

Machado, M., et al., Efficacy of clodronate, pamidronate, and zoledronate in reducing morbidity and mortality in cancer patients with bone metastasis: a metaanalysis of randomized clinical trials. Clinical Therapeutics, 2009. 31(5): p. 962-79. Martin, M., et al., Bone-related complications and quality of life in advanced breast cancer: Results from a randomized phase III trial of denosumab versus zoledronic acid. Clinical Cancer Research, 2012. 18(17): p. 4841-4849.

Menssen, H.D., et al., Effects of long-term intravenous ibandronate therapy on skeletal-related events, survival, and bone resorption markers in patients with advanced multiple myeloma. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2002. 20(9): p. 2353-9.

Mhaskar, R., et al., Bisphosphonates in multiple myeloma: an updated network meta-analysis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2017. 12: p. CD003188.

Murakami, H., et al., Phase II study of zoledronic acid combined with docetaxel for non-small-cell lung cancer: West Japan Oncology Group. Cancer Science, 2014. 105(8): p. 989-95.

Musto, P., et al., Pamidronate reduces skeletal events but does not improve progression-free survival in early-stage untreated myeloma: results of a randomized trial. Leukemia & Lymphoma, 2003. 44(9): p. 1545-8.

Pan, Y., et al., Docetaxel with or without zoledronic acid for castration-resistant prostate cancer. International Urology & Nephrology, 2014. 46(12): p. 2319-26.

Pivot, X., et al., Renal safety of ibandronate 6 mg infused over 15 min versus 60 min in breast cancer patients with bone metastases: a randomized open-label equivalence trial. Breast, 2011. 20(6): p. 510-4.

Robertson, A.G., N.S. Reed, and S.H. Ralston, Effect of oral clodronate on metastatic bone pain: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 1995. 13(9): p. 2427-30.

Rosen, L.S., et al., Long-term efficacy and safety of zoledronic acid compared with pamidronate disodium in the treatment of skeletal complications in patients with advanced multiple myeloma or breast carcinoma: a randomized, double-blind, multicenter, comparative trial. Cancer, 2003. 98(8): p. 1735-44.

Rosen, L.S., et al., Long-term efficacy and safety of zoledronic acid in the treatment of skeletal metastases in patients with nonsmall cell lung carcinoma and other solid tumors: a randomized, Phase III, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Cancer, 2004. 100(12): p. 2613-21.

Rosen, L.S., et al., Zoledronic acid versus placebo in the treatment of skeletal metastases in patients with lung cancer and other solid tumors: A phase III, doubleblind, randomized trial - The zoledronic acid lung cancer and other solid tumors study group. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2003. 21(16): p. 3150-3157.

Ross, J.R., et al., A systematic review of the role of bisphosphonates in metastatic disease. Health Technology Assessment (Winchester, England), 2004. 8(4): p. 1-176.

Ross, J.R., et al., Systematic review of role of bisphosphonates on skeletal morbidity in metastatic cancer. BMJ, 2003. 327(7413): p. 469.

Santini, D., et al., How do skeletal morbidity rate and special toxicities affect 12-week versus 4-week schedule zoledronic acid efficacy? A systematic review and a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Critical Reviews in Oncology-Hematology, 2019. 142: p. 68-75.

Small, E.J., et al., Combined analysis of two multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled studies of pamidronate disodium for the palliation of bone pain in men with metastatic prostate cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2003. 21(23): p. 4277-84.

Stopeck, A.T., et al., Denosumab compared with zoledronic acid for the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced breast cancer: a randomized, double-blind study. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2010. 28(35): p. 5132-9.

Terpos, E., et al., Pamidronate is superior to ibandronate in decreasing bone resorption, interleukin-6 and beta 2-microglobulin in multiple myeloma. European Journal of Haematology, 2003. 70(1): p. 34-42.

Terpos, E., et al., Effect of pamidronate administration on markers of bone turnover and disease activity in multiple myeloma. European Journal of Haematology, 2000. 65(5): p. 331-6.

Theriault, R.L., et al., Pamidronate reduces skeletal morbidity in women with advanced breast cancer and lytic bone lesions: A randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 1999. 17(3): p. 846-854.

van Holten-Verzantvoort, A.T., et al., Palliative pamidronate treatment in patients with bone metastases from breast cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 1993. 11(3): p. 491-8.

van Holten-Verzantvoort, A.T., et al., Reduced morbidity from skeletal metastases in breast cancer patients during long-term bisphosphonate (APD) treatment. Lancet, 1987. 2(8566): p. 983-5.